

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/065,891	LENKIEWICZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Theresa T. Snider	1744	

All Participants:

(1) Theresa T. Snider.

(2) John McGarry.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

3-14, 19-25, 27-29,31-34,36-37,39-41,58-59 and 61-68

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner informed Mr. McGarry of allowablity of claims 3-14,19-25,27-29,31-34,36-37,39-41,58-59 and 61-68. Mr. McGarry agreed to change to claim 66, as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, to provide for proper antecedent basis

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)